Final Assessment Report

Submitted by SUPR-G to SCAPA

Program:	Chemistry		
Degrees Offered:	MSc and PhD in Chemistry		
Approved Fields:	Inorganic, Organic, Physical/Analytical		
External Consultants:	Dr Cathleen Crudden Queen's University	Dr Alison Thompson Dalhousie University	
Internal Reviewers:	Dr Pam McKenzie Faculty of Information and Media Studies	Ms Raechelle Gibson Graduate student, Psychology	
Date of Site Visit:	22-23 February 2017		
Evaluation:	Good quality with report June 2018 on Health and Safety Concerns		
Approved by:	SUPR-G on June 5, 2017 SCAPA on September 13, 2017		

Executive Summary

The review committee met with a broad cross-section of program stakeholders: graduate students; administrative, technical, and instructional staff members; graduate faculty and administrators including the Chair and Graduate Chair; representatives of the Faculty of Science, the School of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies, and Western Libraries. In addition, the committee was taken on tours and had the opportunity to observe student spaces and research labs. The committee had an opportunity to discuss a broad range of considerations from micro to macro level, including course requirements and enhancements such as the EPIC professionalization course; the research environment within which the program operates; physical facilities supporting the program; research infrastructure; faculty complement; staffing support; relationship between the Chemistry Department and the Faculty of Science; student recruitment, and in particular the recruitment and funding of international students; student experience including funding, time to completion, orientation and training. Overall the committee agreed that Western's graduate Chemistry program offers one of the strongest research and learning experiences in Canada. Within this context, the external reviewers made a large number of recommendations for further strengthening the program. A very large majority of these recommendations are already on the radar of the Program, Department, and/or Faculty and are not required for program sustainability.

Significant Strengths of Program:

- Research excellence: all faculty are NSERC-funded and there is a strong group of funded Research Chairs;
- Superlative achievements among in-program students (e.g., Trillium and Banting scholarships) and graduates (e.g., Marie Curie Postdoctoral Fellowships and a Governor-General's Gold Medal);
- Supportive and progressive training environment with exposure to cutting-edge research projects and the opportunity for professionalization through the new EPIC course;
- Strong sense of community within the Department;
- Student experience: students are generally extremely satisfied with the Program and with the support of the program leadership including the Graduate Chair and Graduate Assistant.

Suggestions for improvement & Enhancement:

- Review course offerings to identify core courses for each research division, remove non-current offerings, and communicate the anticipated course roster to students in advance;
- Review graduate student workload, including the requirements for 0.25 vs 0.5 credit courses;

- Clarify class size and international student funding requirements with Faculty;
- Revise and clarify communication to students about the sources of their funding;
- Expand graduate student orientation to address all aspects of being a graduate student, including TA responsibilities, the process by which committees are assigned; information literacy; make orientation mandatory.
- Review and where possible remediate resource deficiencies, e.g., international student funding, administrative support for large research, infrastructure, and training grants; aging building and deteriorating lab infrastructure unsuited to current research strengths.

Recommendations required for Program sustainability:	Responsibility	Resources	Timeline
Safety review of teaching and research	Faculty of Science,	University-	A) Health and Safety review
labs in need of renovation; implement	Department Chair	level	conducted immediately
measures to resolve any safety and health		budgeting	B) Long-term infrastructure
issues.			planning
Identify expectations and provide clearer	Graduate Chair,	Admin and	Immediate
guidelines for EPIC.	Graduate	faculty	
	Committee	workload	
Clarify current course offerings for	Graduate Chair,	Admin and	Immediate
students; review courses not offered	Graduate	faculty	
within the past three years and either	Committee	workload	
discontinue or remove from the website.			
Clarify Faculty policy on international	Graduate Chair,	Admin and	Immediate
student funding; apply so as to maximize	Associate Dean	faculty	
the program's flexibility to recruit and		workload	
retain the best international students.			
Review graduate student workload to	Graduate Chair,	Admin and	Immediate
quantify and standardize across 0.25 and	Graduate	faculty	
0.5 credit courses.	Committee	workload	
Review the mechanism for allocating	Graduate Chair,	Admin and	Immediate
research grades to ensure consistency of	Graduate	faculty	
assessment criteria.	Committee	workload	